EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1104-AGR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102065463 **CASE NO.:** 34167

RESPONDENT NAME: Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy

ORDER TYPE:						
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION				
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Daniel Viss Dairy, 1112 County Road 420, Erath County TYPE OF OPERATION: dairy farm SMALL BUSINESS:X_YesNo OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on November 19, 2007. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Mr. Thomas Jecha, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-2576; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mr. Daniel Viss, Owner, Daniel Viss Dairy, 1112 County Road 420, Stephenville, Texas 76401 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter						

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED..... Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$5,830 **Ordering Provisions:** Complaint X Routine The Order will require the Respondent Total Deferred: \$1,166 ___ Enforcement Follow-up X Expedited Settlement Records Review Financial Inability to Pay a. Immediately upon the effective date Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: of this Agreed Order, cease applying agricultural wastewater to LMU No. 1 None **SEP Conditional Offset: \$0** until a detailed NUP has been developed for the Facility; Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$184 May 1, 2007 (remaining \$4,480 due in 25 monthly payments of \$176 each and one final payment b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop a Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: of \$80) procedure to collect annual soil samples May 25, 2007 (NOE) from the LMUs within the same 45 day Site Compliance History Classification Background Facts: This was a routine time frame each year; High X Average Poor investigation. Three violations were c. Within 60 days after the effective date documented. **Person Compliance History Classification** of this Agreed Order, conduct analysis High X Average Poor of at least one representative sample of WATER irrigation wastewater and one Major Source: ___ Yes _X_ No 1) Failed to cease applying waste or representative sample of manure/litter for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and wastewater to the land management unit Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 total potassium; ("LMU") when results of the annual soil analysis indicate a level of greater than 200 parts per million ("ppm") of extractable d. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop and phosphorus in Zone 1 for a particular LMU unless the application is implemented in implement a NUP for LMU No. 1; and accordance with a detailed nutrient utilization e. Within 105 days after the effective plan ("NUP"). Specifically, agricultural wastewater was applied to LMU No. 1 without date of this Agreed Order, submit a NUP after analysis of samples collected in written certification and include detailed September 2006 showed 245 ppm extractable supporting documentation including phosphorus for Zone 1 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with § 321.47(h)(1)(A)]. Ordering Provisions a, through d. 2) Failed to collect annual soil samples from the LMUs within the same 45 day time frame each year. Specifically, the 2005 soil samples were collected during February but the 2006 samples were collected in September [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(g)(4)]. 3) Failed to conduct an annual analysis of at least one representative sample of irrigation wastewater and manure/litter for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total potassium. Specifically, irrigation wastewater

Additional ID No(s): N/A

and manure/litter were not analyzed in 2006 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(f)(11)].

Policy Revision 2 (Septemb		y Calculation	on Works	sheet (P		ion March 19, 2007
TCEQ			Character Co.			
	1-Jun-2007 2-Jul-2007 Scree	ening 11-Jul-2007	EPA Due			
RESPONDENT/FACILITY IN	FORMATION					
	niel Viss dba Danie	l Viss Dairy				
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN Facility/Site Region 4-D			Major/	Minor Source	Minor	
raciiity/site Region + L	Janasii Oit Worth		inajoi/i	Willion Gource	Williot	
CASE INFORMATION				in Valdovoman		
Enf./Case ID No. 34	167 07-1104-AGR-E		No.	of Violations Order Type		
Media Program(s) Wa			⊢ . Enf		Thomas Jecha	\dashv
Multi-Media					EnforcementTeam 3	
Admin. Penalty \$ Lim	it Minimum \$	0 Maximum	\$10,000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NO CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	Pe	enalty Calcul	ation Sec	tion	THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT	
TOTAL BASE PENALTY	(Sum of violat	ion base penalti	es)		Subtotal 1	\$5,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO	SUBTOTAL 1			ag erese Helejera Höre		
Subtotals 2-7 are obtained Compliance History		and the control of the control of the control of	by the indicated pe	the contract of the contract o	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$330
in the second se	Enhancement for on	e NOV with similar a	ind one NOV w	ith dissimilar	1	
Notes vi	olations issued to th	nis facility during the given for one audit		Credit is also		
Culpability	No	0%	6 Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respond	dent does not meet th	ne culpability cr	iteria.		
Good Faith Effort to	to the contract of the contrac	- 	40.000		Subtotal 5	\$0
Extraordinary	Before NOV NOV to	EDPRP/Settlement Offe	r ,			
Ordinary						
N/A	X (mark w	rith x)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
Notes	The Respond	lent does not meet ti	ne good faith cr	iteria.		
		0.8	√ Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
	tal EB Amounts \$3,4 t of Compliance \$6,0	563 *Cappe	ed at the Total EB \$	S Amount		
SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1	.7			ı	Final Subtotal	\$5,830
OTHER FACTORS AS J	USTICE MAY R	EQUIRE			Adjustment	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Final Subto			nly; e.g30 for -30	%.)	7	
Notes						
	a 		- 344	Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$5,830
STATUTORY LIMIT AD.	JUSTMENT			Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$5,830
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty	by the indicted percenta	ge. (Enter number only,	20% e.g. 20 for 20% red	Reduction uction.)	Adjustment	-\$1,166
Notes	Deferra	al offered for expedit	ed settlement.			
. L	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_	,

\$4,664

PAYABLE PENALTY

Docket No. 2007-1104-AGR-E

PCW

Respondent Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy

Case ID No. 34167

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102065463

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Thomas Jecha

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision March 19, 2007

Compliance History Worksheet

00111011111		Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%	
	Other written NOVs	1	2%	
-	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0 %	0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	1	-1%	
Audito	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment F	ercentage (Si	ubtotal 2)	_
eat Violator (S	ubtotal 3)			
N	Adjustment F	Percentage (Si	ubtotal 3)[
npliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Average F			_	_
npliance Histor		er semuge (e.		
iipiiance mstoi	y Summan 1985 bernada in 1980 ya 1985 ya manana a manana a manana a manana ka manana			
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with similar and one NOV with disimilar violations issued to this fa past five years. Credit is also given for one audit NOI.	acility during the		

Screening Date	11-Jul-2007	Docket N	lo . 2007-1104-AGR-E	PCW
Billing to the control of the con	Daniel Viss dba Daniel Vis	ss Dairy		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision March 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				
Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator				
Violation Number	1			
Rule Cite(s)	2/	O Tay Admin Code 5 22	4 47/5)/4)/4)	
	30	0 Tex. Admin. Code § 32	1.47(II)(1)(A)	
Violation Description	when results of the annumillion ("ppm") of extraction is implement ("NUP"). Specifically, agr	ual soil analysis indicate a stable phosphorus in Zon ented in accordance with ricultural wastewater was	the land management unit ("LI a level of greater than 200 part e 1 for a particular LMU unless a detailed nutrient utilization pl applied to LMU No. 1 without a 2006 showed 245 ppm extracine 1.	s per the an a NUP
			Base P	enalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	nd Human Health Ma	atrix		
Release	Harm Major Moderate	Minor		
OR Actual	Major Moderate	X		
Potential			Percent 10%	
			COCA	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
1 disincation	Wilder Wilder William		Percent 0%	
A CONTROL OF THE CONT			<u> </u>	
Matrix Notes Human health	or the environment has b	een exposed to insignific of the violation.	cant amounts of pollutants as a	result
			Adjustment	\$9,000
00000000000				\$1,000
				ψ1,000
Violation Events				
Number of Vio	lation Events 4	306	Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event		Violation Base P	enalty \$4,000
Four quarterly		d from the September 8, until the July 11, 2007 sc	2006 date the sample analysis reening date.	report
Economic Benefit (EB) for thi	s violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated	I EB Amount	\$897	Violation Final Penalty	7 Total \$4,240
		This violation Final Ass	sessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$4,240

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy Case ID No. 34167 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102065463 Years of Media Water Quality Percent Interest Violation No. 1 15 Item Cost **Date Required** Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** 0.0 Equipment Buildings \$0 0.0 Other (as needed) Engineering/construction 0.0 \$0 \$0 0.0 Record Keeping System 0.0 n/a Training/Sampling 0.0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0,0 \$0 \$73 \$0 \$73 n/a 1-May-2007 22-Apr-2008 Permit Costs n/a 0.0 Other (as needed) n/a Estimated cost to develop a NUP for the Facility. The Date Required is the investigation date and the Final Date Notes for DELAYED costs is the expected date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 25-Apr-2007 0.3 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.0 Supplies/equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 Other (as needed) Estimated cost to haul approximately 672,000 gallons of liquid waste at ten cents per ton-mile. The Date Required is the date the Respondent began applying waste to LMU No. 1 and the Final Date is the date land application ceased. Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance \$4,200 TOTAL \$897

Screening Date 1	11-Jul-2007	Docket I	Vo. 2007-1104-AGR-E		PCW
Respondent [Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss	Dairy		Policy Revis	ion 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 3				PCW R	evision March 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No. F					-
Media [Statute] v					
Enf. Coordinator ַ					
Violation Number	2				
Rule Cite(s)	30	7 Tex. Admin. Code § 3	21.47(g)(4)		
Violation Description	each year. Specifically, th			but the	
>> Environmental, Property ar	nd Human Health Matr	i x	Base	Penalty	\$10,000
	Harm				Widowan
Release Actual	Major Moderate	Minor			***************************************
Potential		X	Percent 5%	•	***************************************
. o.oa.					***************************************
>>Programmatic Matrix					***************************************
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor			
			Percent 0%		***************************************
. Matrix Notes Human health	or the environment could be	e exposed to insignifica the violation.	nt amounts of pollutants as a	a result of	
			Adjustment	\$9,500	
and and a second				· L	\$500
Violation Events					
Number of Viola	ation Events 1	185	Number of violation day	s	***************************************
ANY STATE OF THE S	daily amonthly		•		
management of the second of th	quarterly semiannual annual single event x		Violation Base	Penalty	\$500
	One sin	gle event is recommend	ded.		
Economic Benefit (EB) for this			Statutory Limit Tes		
Estimated	EB Amount	\$1,666	Violation Final Pena	alty Total	\$530
		his violation Final As	sessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits)	\$530

Media	RN102065463 Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2					initel ¹	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	11000000000000000000000000000000000000				· . Theres Trains		
Equipment			,	0:0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	:\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)			Treative Stance	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			a she 'Orig	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System			71 - VI (14. 1)	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
		I		0.0	\$0	7	\$0
Other (as needed)				JI U.U	1 20	l n/a	Φ0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	ntering	Item (except for	one-time avoided o	costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	ntering	Item (except for	one-time avoided o	costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		L. 3:		ntering	Item (except for \$0	one-time avoided o	osts) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANN \$800	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 2.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$79	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,587	sosts) \$0 \$0 \$1,666
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		L. 3:		ntering 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$79 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,587 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,666 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Rampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		L. 3:		ntering 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$79 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,587 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$1,666 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		L. 3:		ntering 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,587 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,666 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		L. 3:		ntering 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$79 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,587 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$1,666 \$0 \$0

A second of the secon

Screening Date	11-Jul-2007	Docket	: No. 2007-1104-AGR-E	PCW
Andrew Control of the	Daniel Viss dba Dani	el Viss Dairy	Policy R	evision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PC	W Revision March 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		•		
Media [Statute]				***************************************
Enf. Coordinator				***************************************
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)	<u> </u>			1
Raie Otte(3)		30 Tex. Admin. Code §	321.47(f)(11)	
Violation Description	wastewater and ma	nure/litter for total nitrogen,	one representative sample of irrigation total phosphorus, and total potassium. re/litter were not analyzed in 2006.	
·			Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	SA RESPONDE DE PERO DE PARTICIONA DE PARTICIONES DE PARTICION DE PARTI	A 1743, 3, 1769, 5, 1975, 5, 1767, 4, 1769, 5, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767, 1767		**************************************
Release	Har Major Mode			
OR Actual		Turner Turner		***************************************
Potentia		X	Percent 5%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	Major Mode	vrato Minor		
Falsification	Major Mode	erate Minor	Percent 0%	
Matrix Notes Human healt	h or the environment o	could be exposed to insignific the violation.	ant amounts of pollutants as a result of	
			Adjustment \$9,500	
				0.700
			•	\$500
Violation Events				
Number of Vi	olation Events 2		Number of violation days	
	2.0			
ven-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-de-	daily			
mark only one with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual		Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
	annual xingle event x			-
Two single of	events are recommend	ded for failure to sample the	rrigation wastewater and manure/litter.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	ils violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$1,000	Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,060
•	Deconsort		ssessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,060

Respondent	# Linguistry in cultural and Print Take States	conomic I a Daniel Viss Dalry	2,8 50,18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	OIVS	Sileet	estrib <mark>ilio</mark>	
Case ID No.		a Barnor vice Barry					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.						$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{v}}$. $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{v}}$	6
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation iso.						. 5.0	
		B 4 B	F61 F.4.		(arasar Kanaa		15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					200	1 - 14
environ di en la contra della c		THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	Dati kancerdense trene in bereke inte		COMPANANCO EL SA GENERALE.		· · · ·
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			the state of the s	.0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land		<u> </u>		0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		<u> </u>		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	`			0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
'							
Notes for DELAYED costs	l .				A de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell		
Notes for DELATED Costs							
					1		
Avoided Costs	ANN	IUALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided	costs)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	A. France	41,02		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,000	31-Dec-2006	31-Dec-2006	0.0	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		•					1
	Estimated cos	st to conduct the 20	06 annual analysi	is of irric	ation wastewater	and manure/litter fo	r total nitrogen,
					potasslum.		
Notes for AVOIDED costs			F	,			
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000	MARKACA A		TOTAL		\$1,000

Compliance History Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN601116338 VISS, DANIEL Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 1.05 RN102065463 DANIEL VISS DAIRY Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 0.60 Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): WATER QUALITY NON PERMITTED **ID NUMBER** R04AG0014 Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: 1112 COUNTY RD 420, ERATH COUNTY Location: **REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX** TCEQ Region: Date Compliance History Prep June 30, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance Enforcement June 30, 2002 to June 30, 2007 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History 512-239-2576 Phone: Name: Thomas Jecha **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance No period? N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. N/A Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. B. N/A C. Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) 1 06/18/2003 (56578)N/A 2 07/01/2004 (275498)3 08/18/2005 (405734)4 04/26/2006 (456865)5 01/03/2007 (533288)6 05/25/2007 (554922)Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) F Date: 06/18/2004 (275498)Self Report? Classification: Minor 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(29) Citation: FAILURE TO SUBMIT WASTE AND WASTEWATER ANALYSIS FOR 2003. Description: Classification: Self Report? Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.42(j) FAILURE TO SUBMIT THE REQUIRED SOIL ANALYSIS WITHIN 60 DAYS OF Description: BEING TAKEN. Classification: Minor Self Report?

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.47(f)(12)
Description: FAILURE TO MAINTAIN THE REQUIRED BUFFER DISTANCE BETWEEN

DAY TIME PERIOD EACH YEAR.

(456865)

Citation:

Description:

Self Report?

Date: 04/21/2006

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(28)(B)

FAILURE TO OBTAIN SOIL SAMPLES FROM EACH LMU WITHIN THE SAME 45-

Classification:

Minor

WATERWAYS AND WASTE APPLICATION.

F. Environmental audits.

Notice of Intent Date: 07/07/2003 (251133)

No DOV Associated

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
DANIEL VISS DBA DANIEL VISS	§	
DAIRY	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN102065463	Ü	-

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1104-AGR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy ("Mr. Viss") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Mr. Viss appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Mr. Viss owns and operates a dairy farm at 1112 County Road 420 in Erath County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. Mr. Viss has discharged agricultural waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and Mr. Viss agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Mr. Viss is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Mr. Viss received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 30, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Mr. Viss of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Five Thousand Eight Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$5,830) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

("Allegations"). Mr. Viss has paid One Hundred Eighty-Four Dollars (\$184) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars (\$1,166) is deferred contingent upon Mr. Viss' timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Mr. Viss fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require Mr. Viss to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Four Thousand Four Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$4,480) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 25 monthly payments of One Hundred Seventy-Six Dollars (\$176) each and one final payment of Eighty Dollars (\$80). The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Mr. Viss fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Mr. Viss to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Mr. Viss to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Mr. Viss have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Viss has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, Mr. Viss is alleged to have:

1. Failed to cease applying waste or wastewater to the land management unit ("LMU") when results of the annual soil analysis indicate a level of greater than 200 parts per million ("ppm") of extractable phosphorus in Zone 1 for a particular LMU unless the application is implemented in accordance with a detailed nutrient utilization plan ("NUP"), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(h)(1)(A), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 1, 2007.

Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1104-AGR-E Page 3

Specifically, agricultural wastewater was applied to LMU No. 1 without a NUP after analysis of samples collected in September 2006 showed 245 ppm extractable phosphorus for Zone 1.

- 2. Failed to collect annual soil samples from the LMUs within the same 45 day time frame each year, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(g)(4), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 1, 2007. Specifically, the 2005 soil samples were collected during February but the 2006 samples were collected in September.
- 3. Failed to conduct an annual analysis of at least one representative sample of irrigation wastewater and manure/litter for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total potassium, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(f)(11), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 1, 2007. Specifically, irrigation wastewater and manure/litter were not analyzed in 2006.

III. DENIALS

Mr. Viss generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Mr. Viss pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Viss' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy, Docket No. 2007-1104-AGR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that Mr. Viss shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, cease applying agricultural wastewater to LMU No. 1 until a detailed NUP has been developed for the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(h)(1)(A);
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop a procedure to collect annual soil samples from the LMUs within the same 45 day time frame each year, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(g)(4);
 - c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, conduct analysis of at least one representative sample of irrigation wastewater and one representative sample of

manure/litter for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total potassium, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(f)(11);

- d. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop and implement a NUP for LMU No. 1, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.47(h)(3); and
- e. Within 105 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. through 2.d. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2301 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Viss. Mr. Viss is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Mr. Viss fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Viss' failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Mr. Viss shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Viss shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Viss becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Viss shall be made in

en de la companya de la co

and the second of the second o

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

The State All and the state of the Market Control of the Market Control of the State of the Stat

en de la composition de de la composition de Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1104-AGR-E Page 5

- writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Viss receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mr. Viss in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mr. Viss, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mr. Viss, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1104-AGR-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
John Szolin	5/2/2008
For the Executive Director	Date
	•

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- * TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of Daniel Viss dba Daniel Viss Dairy Date

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.